



**bizval**  
SINCE 2010



CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS  
AUSTRALIA + NEW ZEALAND

## Case Study

### Minority Discounts and Business Valuations

Topics discussed in this paper include

Minority Discounts

Partnership Dissolution

Shareholder Value

The resignation of a partner in a well-known law firm triggered disagreement as to the value of the departing partner's shareholding. Fortunately, the firm's shareholding agreement foresaw this eventuality. It specified an independent business valuation be commissioned to resolve any dispute. The shareholding in question represented a minority interest, adding a further layer of complexity. Discounts to the pro-rata valuation needed to be applied.☒

## Background

Cerise Partners, a Brisbane-based law firm, was formed by two partners who had met at law school. Their practice had grown and over time four junior partners had joined the firm. The founding partners each held 30% of the firm's issued shares with the remaining four non-founding partners each holding 10%.

Recently one of the non-founding partners accepted an offer to join a rival firm. The agreement established between the partners was clear in an event like this: a departing partner must relinquish their shareholding, returning it to the remaining partners. Notice had been given to this effect.

As is often the case in situations involving changes to partnership structures, there was disagreement regarding the value the 10% shareholding represented. Naturally the departing partner wanted to maximise their return.

Fortunately, the Cerise Partners shareholding agreement specified a course of remedy in the event of such a disagreement. A valuation of the firm must be obtained from a third party with no connection to the firm, one whose arms-length independence would meet the necessary standards relating to impartiality and bias.

## Situation Analysis

Accordingly, the partners instructed an external firm to prepare an independent and fair market valuation.

The first step in the valuation process was to determine a fair market value of 100% of the firm. In addition to records of the firm's financial performance over the last three years, the Financial Controller provided detailed cash flow forecasts for the upcoming 12 months and long range forecasts for the following two years.

The value of the firm was relatively easy to establish. The value of the shareholding was less so.

To value the departing junior partner's 10% shareholding, it became necessary to consider two minority shareholding discounts. Firstly, a lack of control, and secondly, the marketability of the minority shareholding.

Their application had the combined effect of reducing the pro-rata value of the shareholding by a significant amount.

## Outcome

The outgoing partner, while not enthused with the resulting valuation amount, accepted the valuation as representing the fair market value of his shareholding parcel.

The remaining partners were relieved to finalise the matter of the shareholding valuation as it allowed them to move on to consider other impacts of the departure, such as client retention and the protection of I.P.

**An independent business valuation compiled by a professional can help.  
Contact BIZVAL to learn more and order your expert  
business valuation today.**